The Purpose of this Press Clipping is NOT TO IMPRESS ANYONE with personalities, BUT to show the reader that the Bjornulf Motion-pictures have been under press Scrutiny, where they were judged to be Flying Saucers, and NOT FAKES.

Santa Barbara News-Press, Friday Evening, August 27, 1954 A-7

Student of Flying Saucer Stories Says They're Interplanetary Craft

George Wilson, a Santa Barbaran who has made a three-year hobby of the study of "flying saucers," not only believes they are interplanetary space-craft but thinks the U.S. public will learn the full truth about them within two years.

Wilson is an aeronautical engineer by trade, having graduated in 1940 from the Boeing School of Aeronautics in Oakland. He held a private pilot's license until it expired recently. He lives at 1517 Bluff Dr.

To the voluminous files in his home, Wilson has just added an especially prized item: Pictures of the two "flying saucers" taken by famed Norwegian cameraman Johnny Bjornulf during the eclipse of the sun early this summer.

Norwegian's Story

Wilson saw a United Press story from Oslo, Norway, in the July 7 issue of the News-Press. The story told of how Bjornulf took motion pictures of the eclipse from a plane at 13,500 feet. He took the pictures for scientists studying the eclipse.

Not until he showed the developed pictures in London a week later did he notice the shiny objects.

Wilson, immediately after reading the story, sent off a letter to Bjornulf and asked for prints taken from the film for his collection. In yesterday's mail Wilson received a fat envelope from the Norwegian containing two strip prints of four movie frames each. They were enlarged from the 16-millimeter color film, shot at 32 frames a second.

Shock Waves

The two shining discs show plainly in the prints. Wilson was particularly interested in the shock waves emanating from the two objects; the waves show distinctly on the prints.

With the pictures, Bjornulf sent the comments of a Norwegian astronomer who examined the film and discounted the possibilities of reflection and lens distortion.

Mission Canyon Home Planned

[illegible] Seger applied for a build-

PAINTING
WALLPAPERING
call
ED DASCOMB
2-4136

1517 Bluff Drive
Santa Barbara, Calif.
Sept. 18, 1954

Mr. Frank Scully:
c/o Max B. Miller (please forward as per your letter)
Flying Saucers International
P.O. Box 35034
Los Angeles, 35, Calif.

Dear Mr. Scully:

I will begin by saying that I am not now and never have knowingly talked to a man from outer space.

Several weeks ago I got a set of movie Prints of saucer film from Norway, But I better start at the beginning.

On the 7, July 1954 in the Santa Barbara News-Press appeared the following:

"Oslo, Norway, July 7, 1954 (U.P.) A famed camerman said today that pictures he took during a Solar Eclipse last week showed like 'shiny white objects like flying saucers' Photographer Johnny Bjornulf took the pictures aboard a Scandianavian Airlines plane at 13,000 Ft. while photographing the eclipse of the sun last Wednesday for scientists. He ruled out lens reflection because the objects appeared on film taken by two different cameras".

Then followed a rounding out of the same information in the News-Press.

I read the UP. story an wrote the following in part to Photographer Johnny Bjornulf c/o United Press, Oslo.

" The latest theory is that these space-craft are made of a translucent carbon metal compound surrounded by a magnetic field of the frequency of ten raised to the fourteenth and fifteenth power(waves per second). This is between infrared and ultravilot light."

"The question of how these people inside the saucers can take the tremendous accelerations, is simple to answer in nature, But complicated in elaboration."

"Between the North and South Magnetic Poles of the Earth are lines of magnetic FLUX." When a body on earth accelerates or decelerates it cuts these magnetic flux lines at a GREATER or LESSER rate. A body inside a saucer is completely surrounded by a NEW magnetic field which supports the saucer in the air (Sic: the Moon and its own magnetic field) and a body inside a saucer does not cut the Earth's magnetic flux lines at all and since the saucers own magnetic field goes with the saucer at all times, a body inside the saucer does not cut the saucer's magnetic FLUX lines at a greater or lesser rate. See Dr. Einstein's special theory of relativity 1950 where he states the unity of gravity and inertia."

"The reason a spectroscope does NOT measure the atmospheres of other planets is that other planets have THeir OWN MAGNETIC FIELDS, which are entirely different from the Earth's . If a source of radiation of light is placed between the poles of a strong magnetic field, the spectral lines are thickened, and shift though the sprectrum.

"This is called Zeeman effect. But worse than that 'forbidden' lines also appear. These 'forbidden lines' may be defined as those lines that DO NOT appear in the normal spectra at all, and may GIVE AN ENTIRELY FALSE READING. "

"If you would care to part with a still picture, as an aeronautical engineer, I would be pleased to add it to my collection." SIGNED

Several weeks ago I received the following inclosed page which is a coppy of a letter from U.P. photographer J. Bjornulf.

I consider these pictures of the greatest importance since the sound shock-waves rule out the possibility of light shinning on sea gulls wings, (as if there were ever that possibility in the first place.) as the Air Force said they were going to say in the Utah Pictures.

It gives me great pleasure to see the 'Menzel' theory go in the junk pile.....

Mr, Scully, if you would care to have one of the eight copyrighted prints, for examination, please let me know.

Mr. Spritss, the owner of the Bus Co. here in Santa Barbara, wished me to ask you this question. Is there one shot in the picture "Flying Saucers" (shown over television last night) where the Flying Saucers make a right hand turn toward the camera, that COULD BE AUTHENTIC ???? and If so, is a print of this section available????

I would appreciate any information you have concerning the rumored Trip, that ..... of the Hearst papers and .... of the Brookings Institute(Trumans earstwhile financial adviser) and Bishop ..... of L.A. are said to have made to Muroc on the 4-13-54 to view 5 flying saucers. ????????????

Most cordially,

George G. Wilson

George G. Wilson

See constellation of Pisces
page 100 "Stars"

North Pole
Greenland Sea
Arctic circle
Faeroe Is.
Iceland
Greenland
Davis Strait
Bering Sea
Bering Sea
Hudson Bay
Labrador
Newfoundland
18°
ATLANTIC OCEAN
Chicago
St. Louis
Bermuda I.
Los Angeles
Galveston
Florida
Bahama Is.
GULF OF MEXICO
Cuba
Yucatan
Hispaniola
Jamaica
CARIBBEAN SEA
PACIFIC OCEAN
LEO
Regulus
Ecliptic
Galapagos Is.

ADAMSKI PLATE
GROUP LOWER
LEFT of CIGAR
SWASTIKA
ARIES
Ecliptic
MARS
Note
ANTI-PODAL
West-COAST
OF US FOR
HEAD OF
ARIES
V.S. - LEO -
NORTHERN
CONSTELLATION
July 26
1960
June 23
1960
July 8
1960
July 15
1960
July 19
1960
Aug 6
1960
START
OF
Dog-DAYS
MEDITERRANEAN SEA
SAHARA
Algiers
Casablanca
3,663
Madeira
Canary Is.
Alexandria
Cairo
Suez
Nubian Desert
Red Sea
Tibesti
11,204
9840
Tombouctou
Dakar
Niger
Lake Chad
Khartoum
5,157
Aden
G. of Aden
Addis Ababa
Somali

SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

21 December 1962, Volume 138, Number 3547

On the Recent Discoveries Concerning Jupiter and Venus

In the light of recent discoveries of radio waves from Jupiter and of the high surface temperature of Venus, we think it proper and just to make the following statement.

On 14 October 1953, Immanuel Velikovsky, addressing the Forum of the Graduate College of Princeton University in a lecture entitled "*Worlds in Collision* in the Light of Recent Finds in Archaeology, Geology and Astronomy: Refuted or Verified?," concluded the lecture as follows: "The planet Jupiter is cold, yet its gases are in motion. It appears probable to me that it sends out radio noises as do the sun and the stars. I suggest that this be investigated."

Soon after that date, the text of the lecture was deposited with each of us [it is printed as supplement to Velikovsky's *Earth in Upheaval* (Doubleday, 1955)]. Eight months later, in June 1954, Velikovsky, in a letter, requested Albert Einstein to use his influence to have Jupiter surveyed for radio emission. The letter, with Einstein's marginal notes commenting on this proposal, is before us. Ten more months passed, and on 5 April 1955 B. F. Burke and K. L. Franklin of the Carnegie Institution announced the chance detection of strong radio signals emanating from Jupiter. They recorded the signals for several weeks before they correctly identified the source.

This discovery came as something of a surprise because radio astronomers had never expected a body as cold as Jupiter to emit radio waves (*1*).

In 1960 V. Radhakrishnah of India and J. A. Roberts of Australia, working at California Institute of Technology, established the existence of a radiation belt encompassing Jupiter, "giving 10^4 times as much radio energy as the Van Allen belts around the earth."

On 5 December 1956, through the kind services of H. H. Hess, chairman of the department of geology of Princeton University, Velikovsky submitted a memorandum to the U.S. National Committee for the (planned) IGY in which he suggested the existence of a terrestrial magnetosphere reaching the moon. Receipt of the memorandum was acknowledged by E. O. Hulburt for the Committee. The magnetosphere was discovered in 1958 by Van Allen.

In the last chapter of his *Worlds in Collision* (1950), Velikovsky stated that the surface of Venus must be very hot, even though in 1950 the temperature of the cloud surface of Venus was known to be −25°C on the day and night sides alike.

In 1954 N. A. Kozyrev (*2*) observed an emission spectrum from the night side of Venus but ascribed it to discharges in the upper layers of its atmosphere. He calculated that the temperature of the surface of Venus must be + 30°C; somewhat higher values were found earlier by Adel and Herzberg. As late as 1959, V. A. Firsoff arrived at a figure of + 17.5°C for the mean surface temperature of Venus, only a little above the mean annual temperature of the earth (+ 14.2°C) (*3*).

However, by 1961 it became known that the surface temperature of Venus is "almost 600 degrees [K]" (*4*). F. D. Drake described this discovery as "a surprise . . . in a field in which the fewest surprises were expected." "We would have expected a temperature only slightly greater than that of the earth. . . . Sources of internal heating [radioactivity] will not produce an enhanced surface temperature." Cornell H. Mayer writes (*5*), "All the observations are consistent with a temperature of almost 600 degrees," and admits that "the temperature is much higher than anyone would have predicted."

Although we disagree with Velikovsky's theories, we feel impelled to make this statement to establish Velikovsky's priority of prediction of these two points and to urge, in view of these prognostications, that his other conclusions be objectively re-examined.

V. BARGMANN
Department of Physics,
Princeton University,
Princeton, New Jersey

LLOYD MOTZ
Department of Astronomy,
Columbia University, New York

References

1. See also the New York *Times* for 28 October 1962.
2. N. A. Kozyrev, *Izv. Krymsk. Astrofiz. Observ.* **12** (1954).
3. *Science News* **1959**, 52 (Summer 1959).
4. *Phys. Today* **14**, No. 4, 30 (1961).
5. C. H. Mayer, *Sci. Am.* **204** (May 1961).

Copyright © 1962 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.



high, opaque cloud deck. The amount of carbon dioxide above this deck was too small to be detected.

Infra-red readings also showed the temperature on top of the cloud deck to be about −30° F. It varied little over the planet except in one spot at the southern end of the boundary between light and dark, where it fell to about −50° F. No one is sure what this means. Perhaps the clouds are higher or more opaque at this point. Perhaps a surface feature, such as a high mountain, forces them upward.

The instruments made another important observation: the limb (edge) of the Venusian disk appears darker than the center. This "limb darkening" means that microwaves and infra-red radiation really originate at the surface or at some level below the top of the atmosphere. Rays coming from the limb must pass slantwise through a greater thickness of atmosphere, and so appear weaker. This rules out one of the leading theories about the Venusian atmosphere: that it is highly ionized on top and therefore glows, making the planet appear hotter than it really is. Such an atmospnere would be brighter at the edges than in the center, and since the Venusian atmosphere does not show this, Venus can have no shell of ions to hide a temperate surface behind a glowing screen.

Physicist Louis D. Kaplan of the University of Nevada and JPL, who helped design Mariner II's infra-red experiment, thinks that at ground level, Venus' atmospheric pressure may be 10 to 20 times that of Earth. Its dry, unbreathable air contains perhaps 10% carbon dioxide (v. .03% for Earth) and probably a little nitrogen. The clouds are so dense that the surface is probably dark. Radar waves bounced off Venus indicate rather uncertainly that there may be both mountains and smooth places, as on the earth.

Hydrocarbon Clouds. The famous Venusian clouds remain a tantalizing mystery. Some astronomers believe that they are fine dust, kicked up from the surface by tremendous winds in the dense atmosphere, but Professor Kaplan has a more picturesque theory. He thinks they are hydrocarbon droplets similar to the water droplets in earthly clouds. The droplets condense in the cool top of the atmosphere, but stay in vapor form in the lower parts, where the temperature rises above 200° F. So the dark Venusian surface has clear, compressed, oily air. Infra-red rays from the sun penetrate both clouds and atmosphere, but are hindered by the CO_2 when they try to escape. This trapped energy keeps the surface so hot that no life known on Earth could possibly survive there.

Evidence from radio telescopes shows that Venus rotates very slowly, if at all. It may cruise around the sun without turning, which would make its day equal to its year (225 Earth days). Or it may turn slowly enough to keep the same face toward the sun, as Mercury does. In either case, Mariner II's report that the planet's surface is about the same temperature all over calls for some new thinking. During its long, possibly unending night, the dark side should tend to cool off. Why does it stay hot?

Dr. Kaplan's answer is that moderate winds in the planet's thick, dense atmosphere can carry heat enough to keep the dark side warm. The hydrocarbon clouds, 15 miles thick, help by providing the surface with efficient insulation.

While the scientists are still debating the baffling news brought back from Venus, JPL will be gunning for other information beyond the limits of Earth. "Our experience with Mariner II was a modest little nursery-school exercise," says Space Sciences Chief Robert Meghreblian. "It was nothing to compare with what we will do in the next few years."

The next interplanetary shot will probably be aimed at Mars, whether or not the Russian spacecraft which was tossed toward Mars on Nov. 1, finally arrives. Since Mars has a nicely transparent atmosphere, the U.S. Mars shot, now scheduled for 1964, will try to take 20 fine-detail pictures during its fly-by, store them on tape, and send them slowly and accurately back to Earth. An infra-red spectrometer will look for organic compounds whose presence would almost prove the existence of life.

Venusian Gales. The recent Mariner shot was so successful that it need not be repeated. The next Venus probe will be much more sophisticated. Dr. Meghreblian thinks that Venus is probably plagued by terrific winds, and he wants the next spacecraft to drop a capsule into the planet's clouds to release tough-skinned balloons that will drift with the Venusian gales. A few such balloons, carrying radios that can be followed by an orbiting spacecraft, should tell a lot about the planet's meteorology and perhaps explain what the mysterious clouds are made of.

The nearby moon, which has high priority because of the project to land live astronauts there, will be examined later this year by improved Rangers, and then by more elaborate craft. They will study the lunar surface so that larger craft, eventually carrying humans, can land there safely. Any astronaut touching down in a spaceship needs to know whether the surface below is hard rock or deep, soft dust, whether it is radioactive or made of wholly unknown moon-stuff that cannot exist on Earth.

Silicon Life. When JPL's space denizens have learned to land softly on the moon, they can do the same on Mars, studying or even fighting off any kind of life that exists there. That life may be based on unfamiliar chemistry, perhaps using silicon in place of carbon and some other solvent in place of water. After Mars, comes Jupiter, the monster planet that seems to be bursting with unexplained commotion.

Ranging far out into the solar system, unmanned spacecraft will feel at home in vacuum, be unbothered by radiation, take advantage of weightlessness, get their energy from sunlight as green plants do on Earth. They will perform elaborate maneuvers in response to orders built into their brains, like the instincts of insects, which lead thoroughly successful lives without a trace of reason. Sometimes they will listen with sensitive radio ears for whispers of command from millions or hundreds of millions of miles away. Some of them will send tough-skinned projectiles down into hostile atmosphere and record what they report; some will land on distant planets and survey the landscape with sharp, electronic eyes. With Mariner's success to prove it can be done, scientists are now sure that wherever human astronauts may venture, unmanned spacecraft, like Kilroy, will have been there before them.

Frank Scully
2071 Grace Ave.
Hollywood, Calif.

Comanche Court
Rt. 3 Box 269
Farmington, New Mexico
June 1 first, 1960

Dear Mr. Scully:

Thank you for your card of May 4, ~~1960~~ 1960.

I have inclosed a map of the mesa 12 miles from Aztec and 28 miles from Farmington. Inclosed are photos of it, from bottom on highway near ranches and on top.

Would you please return one set of photos with an X on it indicating the altitude up the mesa where you think the saucer landed. Just a guess would be good enough. Do you remember the name of the ranch?



IBID page 145 of pocket-book STARS
by Zim and Baker.

I cannot advise you what to do Mr. Scully. But I feel it is alright to tell you what I did.

I call your attention to the dognapping men of Everittstown, and Dante Tenn. page 271, of Flying Saucers and The Straight Line Mystery.

Since they picked the dogs up at sunrise or sunset, I believe they were indicating the trouble will start the Dog Days, see page 765 of Websters International Unabridged Dictionary 1941, second edition. The rising of the Sun with the Dog Star Sirius. (b) " A period of malignant influences."

Because they picked up a little dog, I believe they were indicating the rising of the Sun with Procyon, Canis Minor. I have determined from the US. Naval observatory that the Sun rises in conjuction with Procyon, July 19, 1960. This then would be the same date at the July 19th ~~that~~ of the Egyptian rising of the goddess "Sothis", quote "near July 19 of the Julian Calender" page 205 Egyptian Grammar, Being an introduction to the study of Hieroglyphics, by Sir Alan Gardiner.

I therefore moved from the West Coast to Farmington, New Mexico, the most stable region in the U.S. (Sturctural Geology Of North America-by Eardley.)

I am inclosing a transaltion of the "Adamski Plate" in two maps, one of Pisces and one of Aries. These clearly place Mars.

The recent Chilean quakes were antipodal to the Pisces map which was made long before the quakes and in fact a drawing ~~was~~ sent you.

How the Adamski Plate got off the saucer here and in Adamski's book is something I'll never know.

I am sure of these maps, sure enough for you to check them with Dr. "Gee" if you want.

Sincerely,

George C. Wilson

George C. Wilson

PS. I am sorry you have been ill. get well fast.


9003
8000
Animas City
Open-air theater & RT
Roundhouse
Durango
College
Falfa
Sawmill
Bayfield
Breen
BS
KIUP
930
LA PLATA
6684 L H 56
Water tank
Oxford
Kline
Marvel
VOR
DURANGO RADIO
(Oper by County)*
108.2 DRO
School
Ignacio
7630
MESA
Tiffany
37°
COLO
N MEX
Cedar Hill
Animas R
AZTEC
KWTK
960
Days only
5735
Flora Vista
5835
Aztec
RT
7087
FARMINGTON RADIO
115.3 FMN
6000
San Juan
6977
076°
Camp
Blanco
.6973
Bloomfield
Pumping station
7127
PIPE LINE
150
134°
30°
Ranch
.7475
7000

INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE



Cover designed and drawn by R.Katuzin

INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE REPORT NO.8

Vol.2 No.2

This issue is dedicated to James W.Moseley and the staff of SAUCER NEWS.

Advisory Board Members
Gray Barker
George D.Fawcett
Gene Duplantier
James W.Moseley
John J.Robinson

Director-Editor
Timothy Green Beckley

Assistant Director
Lucius Farish

Assistant Editor
Jerome Clark

Contributing Editor
Rev. Guy J. Cyr

Special Projects Manager
John L. Black

England Branch Office
Roger Bell Director

West Coast Branch Office
Kenneth Larson Director

Canadian Branch Office
Homer Schaefer Director

Staff Advisor
James C.Kelsey,V

Staff Artist
Richard Katuzin

Associate Editor
Lawrence Jordan Ramsey

Published 6 times a year in the public interest. Membership $2.00 for a 6 issue subscription.

Published at:
3 Courtland Street
New Brunswick,New Jersey
Phone CH 7-7092

EDITORIAL

Well hello, nice to be back with you once more. This group has become a labor of love with your editor and he has even turned a nice offer down (money wise) recently to stay in the publishing field. We have in the past few months gotten ourselves a nice following, large as UFO groups go. However we still need those renewals and new members to stay alive and very much active.

This issue is just cramed with articles by the biggest names in the field of ufology and in fact we were putting our heads together trying to figure out how to present the ever increasing number of sightings which have croped up recently. A problem which we have solved, we hope to everyone's contentment. Next issue we hope to have even more new material, maintaining our objective approach to the saucer enigma something which very few other groups can brag about. Of course your editor has his own opinions but he is not "God" and therefor he makes no judgements over anyone's claims.

We would like to bring to your attention some new faces on the staff of this publication. Recently a member from Dearborn Hts.,Michigan wrote us a nice letter and enclosed a number of drawings which he had done from reading saucer books and watching science fiction movies on television. After looking these drawings over your editor rushed him an air-mail letter asking him to do our publications illustrations. He has agreed to do so and therefor you will see a different cover each edition and more inside illustrations. Also recently added is Lucius Farish as Assistant Director. Mr.Farish is a close correspont of many of the bigger names in this field including C.A.Honey, George Adamski and others. You can well tell that Mr.Farish knows what he is doing by reading the articles he has sent us in the past all of which took a great deal of investigation. Others who have recently been added or reinstated include Lawrence Jordan Remsey and James C.Kelsey. Mr.Remsey is a harden researcher and he likes to make it hot for some of the other fellows and all tho we may not agree 100% with him we have allowed him to start his own column entitled WATCHING THE WAY-OUTISTS which will appear in every issue starting now. That reinstated person is non other than James C.Kelsey who in the past has been in on just about everything this organization said and did. As staff advisor he hopes to improve the looks and material presented in this publication. So now you have a little insight on this organization. SO lets get on with this issue.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

In your issue #5, #6 in the article "Some UFOlogical Fallacies" by Jerome Clark his chief falacy was using the Air Force Fact sheets to dispute the Mars cycle. Only about 10% of all sightings are even reported by the U.S.Air Force and a small percentage of these are investigated, because of lack of time, personnel, money, and facilities. George D.Fawcett,Woburn,Mass.

Rerly by I.N.S. Assistant Editor, Jerome Clark

George D.Fawcett has brought up a point which I have been expecting to crop up ever since writing the article. I did not say, nor did I imply, that the Air Force receives every sighting of UFOs. However, it does receive a fairly regular percentage of them-10%,Mr.Fawcett states --and thus we are able to judge what years the most UFOs are being seen. For example, if there are 100 saucers seen, about 10 will be reported to the Air Force; if 500, about 50. In this way "flap" years can be determined.

***

Your May issue was very interesting to read. I would like to comment on the article written by Jerome Clark:"Some UFOlogical Fallacies". Jerome Clark states -- "Another UFOlogical fallacy, closely connected with contact claims is the myth that the flying saucers are here to save us...This theory...is completely without basis, for all data collected on UFO activity indicates that the phenomena are either neutral or hostile. Although I can recall numerous cases in which saucers have been responsible for the deaths of individuals, there are none, to my knowledge, that describe UFO's saving someone." -- It is essential for the UFO researcher to be open-minded and unprejudiced. We have UFO reports that extend from ancient Rome and Egypt to the present time. and in all probability into the future. Consequently, one cannot say that in the future the UFO may save us or portions of the human race. As I see it, the UFO is apparently performing intelligent, large-scale and complex operations over this planet. This operation appears to be part of one large plan or project and to be connected to the design of the Earth and the history of the human race. The UFO operations of the past, I think, point forward to some unprecedented and future world event. This event, I think, will involve the direct intervention of the UFO into the affairs of the nations of Earth... Kenneth L.Larson, Los Angeles, California

Reply by I.N.S. Assistant Editor Jerome Clark

Unfortunately, Mr.Larson, your letter cannot be discussed from a strictly factual basis, for what you have written depends almost completely on personal beliefs not susceptible to rigorous proof. My comments in the article were based upon, and I quote, "that which past experience and logic prove to be false." It is not, of course, absolutely impossible that the UFOs may be here to save us. However, in the light of what the saucers have evidenced about themselves during their tenure in our atmosphere, this seems most improbable. I myself prefer to use past performances as criteria for judging future actions, since, and I believe most researchers will go along with me on this, it is not likely that sometime in the future the intelligences piloting the UFOs will effect a drastic change in their views toward us.

***

I again am taking the opportunity to reply to Assistant Editor Jerome Clark and Editor Timothy Green Beckley through these pages. Let me first concern myself with the "little men" idea. I did state in my letter that I don't believe in them. But my (or anyone else's) disbelief in no way disprove them as a possibility. Opinions influence many people, but prove very few

things, because of their being just that--opinions. I believe that "little men" are a possibility in the light of my thesis in "A Case for the Contactee." But in the light of what I have read of future evolution (see article in next issue of the I.N.S.Report), I prefer to visualize the "space beings" as possessing characteristics more like than different from earth man. Answering the question "Do I believe in little men?" I reply no. But, once again, this does not disprove them as a possibility. In a similar light, let me clarify another point as to why I believe in the possibility of the contactee, yet do not accept all contactees and their opinions. If, for example, one contactee says that all contacts come from Mars and another says that they all come from Venus, how can I accept both of these, with one disagreeing with the other? If we are to assume that at least one is right, we can accept only one. We can't accept both, because of the one contradicting the other. One can believe one of four things from this example: (1) that neither of these are proven, but both remain a possibility; (2) the Mars concept is the right one; (3)the Venus concept is the right one. Or (4) that neither of these is right. It is obvious that to accept all contactees as being truthful I would be contradicting myself. Jerome Clark askes in "Some UFOlogical Fallacies" what I meant by proper information. I meant the purpose for which the saucer people have come. I do not say (or mean to imply) that this purpose is known. The third quoted paragraph shows this, namely,". . .for whatever purpose these saucer people are here (mapping, surveillance, hostility, Great White Leaders, Saviors, etc.). . ." I merely list these as opinions of the purpose. It isn't known for certain what the purpose is. It may be one of these listed; but the possibility of it being something else is just as great. To the question of who is more qualified--Asimov or Michel--I now turn. I know that Dr.Asimov is on the faculty of Boston University. Information can be found in any public library on him and his achievements both in science fiction and science. Following are some questions perhaps someone could answer pertaining to Aime Michel: What degree does he hold? Where did he teach? Who described him as well known French Mathematician and engineer?" Well-known by who? Certainly not by the common man as a mathematician or lecturer. What other accomplishments has he besides his books? Why aren't his books recognized by any authority on scientific matters as being factually important? What position does he now hold? I believe there can be no doubt as to who is the most qualified! Joe Wright, Benton, Kentucky.

Reply by I.N.S. Assistant Editor, Jerome Clark

Joe Wright has greatly clarified his position and explained the apparent contradictions in his written works. However, I feel that there are several areas in which he has maintained rather questionable positions, and it is these I now take issue with. I do not understand why he is so receptive to the idea of contacteeism and so repelled by the idea of "little men",whose existance can hardly be in doubt (It is interesting to note that even the most conservative researchers--notably Michel,Hall,Maney, and Lorenzen--accept these beings as the pilots of the UFOs), whereas there are so many objections to the contact claims that it is a mystery in itself why they are even considered by students of the subject. To this Mr.Wright will undoubtedly say that he is merely defending the contactee as a possibility, not necessarily a fact. This hardly needs to be said, for no one will deny that such claims are not absolutely impossible. But at the same time it is a possibility that within five minutes of my writing this a meteorite will fall out of the sky,pierce my house roof, and demolish this typewriter. Improbable,surely--but still possible. I am sorry that I cannot supply any information on Aime Michel's scientific training. Nevertheless, I will say that I know of at least one well known scientist (a UFO skeptic, be it noted) who has commented favorably on Michael and his ability.

BRINGING THE JOE SIMONTON UFO CONTACT UP-TO-DATE

BY-Judge Frank Carter

You probably read of the Joe Simonton contact near Eagle River, Wisconsin with an unidentified flying object on Tuesday April 18,1961, and the write ups in all the daily papers. In fact the write-ups were so good that Major Donald E.Keyhoe Director of the National Investigation Committee on Aerial Phenomina (1536 Connecticut Avenue, Washington D.C.) objected when I mailed a letter to him on Friday April 21st,1961 and enclosed one of the alleged "cakes" to be analyzed in order to check the story of Joe Simonton and if possible to see if the cakes were of earthly origin or some outside origin not common to us.

You will recall that Simonton was alone at the time when he heard a noise outside his farm house,some four miles west of Eagle River, Wisconsin. When he went to see what caused this noise he discovered that an unknown object had landed in his driveway near the house. One of the occupants of this strange vehicle about thirty feet in diameter beckoned him to fill a metal receptacle or urn, with what he understood was to be "drinking water". Thusly he went to the house and filled same,and returned with it, and handed it up to the occupant,and leaned his left hand on the metallic side of the object. At that time he noticed another man working over what he thought were controls, and a third man over somekind of a heating or cooking unit and was interested in cakes seemingly being cooked thereon. When the first occupant who took the receptacle of water noticed Joe's interest in the cakes being cooked, he caused some of them to be scooped up and given to Joe,and then the object took off at considerable speed.

Joe knew that I was head of a flying saucer group at Eagle River,and arranged to come to my home late at night and tell me his story. I asked him if he would give me one of the alleged "pancakes" in order that I might check his story and send same in to be analyzed. I was quite surprised that he would agree so readily in giving up one of the few samples that he had. This fact rather convinced me that Simonton was not lying. He was not a flying saucer enthusiast,and had never attended any of our meetings nor read up on any of the literature,so I began to believe his story,for he was not a "drinking man" and was not bearing a reputation as a liar nor as a story teller nor as a seeker of publicity. Just a plain common plumer that was not known as a reader of science or space literature. I know what I am talking about in regards to his reputation around our community as I was born there 71 years ago and served as County Judge for 42 years and knew everybody in that small community. Joe really came to me for help in giving him information as to what it was all about.

Being a flying saucer enthusiast since reading "The Coming of the Saucers" by Kenneth Arnold and everything else that I could possibly get my hands on in connection with the subject. Altho I was NOT a believer in the so-called "contact" stories,but more closely connected to the Major Keyhoe group,for I had read all his books and was a member in his group. I naturally thought immediately, "Now here is a chance to send this 'cake' to Keyhoe and his organization,and analyze it to find its contents and try to find out if the Simonton story was a HOAX or indeed true. So I mailed him (Keyhoe) a long letter dated April 21,1961 containing the story and the sample for the sole purpose of having it analyzed. I wanted very much to check Joe's story so you can see.

The daily press all over the country immediately got on the job and began calling me at all hours of the day, even while on the "judicial bench on scheduled cases" and I resented being called to the phone while so serving,and told them all that I had mailed the cake on to Keyhoe and his NICAP to be analyzed. It now seems that Major Keyhoe got "sore" because I told the press just that. Furthermore Keyhoe did not acknowledge the receipt thereof and I had to check to see if they had ever received the "pancake". Keyhoe did not answer the letter but his secretary,Richard Hall, answered my correspondence after much insistence that I wanted to know what had become of the sample. I then learned of their anger in receiving it, particularly because I gave the press the story that I sent the sample on to them to be analyzed. Why this should be so disturbing to such an INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE I cannot understand even to this day. Most saucer book authors complain of "poor press,"service" which they get on saucer sightings and yet,here,in this particular saucer sighting and contacy story,the press was glad to get all the information that they possibly could. Even were somewhat obnoxious in calling me at some of the most outlandish periods of the day FOR INFORMATION. So I personally have experienced a different attitude as to sightings than others have otherwise announced in the past.

I was anxious to learn what the analysis would show; whether the sample was a fake or a hoax. Other saucer investigating societies such as Mrs. Coral Lorenzen of Tuscon,Arizona, director and publisher of the A.P.R.O. Bulletin, who thru her friend,Mrs.Cecelia Hess,of Rhinelander,a neighboring city just begged for the return of the "sample" so that Mrs.Lorenzen could analyze it, or,at least, obtain another sample, but Joe would not let another go after the Keyhoe treatment.

Keyhoe's secretary had a bitter correspondence with me over the publicity and I then demanded the return of the sample, and that I would take care of the analysis myself, but I could not obtain the sample back that I so innocently mailed them. They alleged that they had sent it on to a chemist in New York City and that he had it and that I would get a report when the analysis was actually completed. Making a long story short, I state positively that to this day (over two years later) I have NEVER received any report of that chemical analysis from Hall, Keyhoe, NICAP or any chemist that they allegedly sent it to be analyzed. I rather believe that in all fairness to me,after all the effort I made to send it on to them,in the first place, demanded that I get some kind of a direct report on this strange "pancake". But NO: this is the truth as to the treatment that NICAP hands out.

Now, later, one of the members of NICAP (I dropped out after such treatment) Mrs.Cecelia Hess of Rhinelander,Wisconsin,who lives about 24 miles from Eagle River and had attended some of our saucer meetings at my home and otherwise, has REPEATEDLY spread the FALSE story that one realtor, of Eagle River (Harry Pride) also interested in so-called, "Pleasure Island" an amusement park here had hypnotized Joe Simonton to tell the now famous"pancake" story. Now I have nailed her on the false story to her face,before the other members present at several of our meetings,that I have known the said Harry Pride personally since he was but a kid, and that I have known him well;that he is a personal friend of mine;that he never knew anything about Hypnotism and still does not; that I have been a "showman" all my life; a semi-professional magician and ventriloquist (just returned from such a convention of the International Brotherhood of Magicians at Knoxville,Tennessee, July 1st to 4th,1963 inclusive,where they came from all over the world to this gathering); that I knew practically all Hypnotists in Illinois,Minnesota, Michigan and Wisconsin personally, and that Harry Pride NEVER could hypnotize anyone and that I was certain that he associated with no one that could do so; and in fact when I approached Harry over a year ago,and also within ten days,

he mearly laughed and said "She is crazy" in spreading such a story. Now Harry is a "so-called good fellow" and may have originally made that story up for her benefit, as he met her at a Dale Carnegie meeting, taking the course together, and may have "played the trick" on her, because she was as big an enthusiast of flying saucers as I am, but the point I have tryed to make is that in spite of his attitude, and also my refutation of the hypnotism story she continues to repeat this story. Remember she is still a member of NICAP and a friend of Major Keyhoe.

Let me also state that there appeared the "identical story" of Cecelia Hess, in Ray Palmer's FLYING SAUCERS of July 1962, an article under the name of Frank Patton. I have reason to believe that that name is not the true name of the author thereof, or authoress of that story. That it is a fictional name, or Nom de Plume, for Cecelia Hess, of Rhinelander, Wisconsin. I could be wrong, but does any one know of a Frank Patton and his address? If I am wrong, I shall gladly apologize in this magazine. But I believe Ray Palmer, whom I know personally, and have been at his home and he has been in mine, ought to check this story, as to whom this party Frank Patton is under these circumstances.

The Patton article on page 18 of that issue states: "I won't hedge.. here is the gist of what I heard: It seems a local real estate firm was planning to open an amusement park in the vacation area near Eagle River" (Authors comment: There is no other amusement park at Eagle River but "Pleasure Island", and it is operated chiefly by Harry Pride and John Morley, of Eagle River, 1962 and presently again in 1963). Pride is a legitimate realtor at Eagle River he is the only person who could possibly fit the description, and wouldn't it be quite an incident if another party (one Frank Patton) whom I do not know of actually easedropped on Pride's conversation in a restaurant, after one of the Dale Carnegie Classes, wherein Cecelie Hess attended, with Harry Pride and others, actually heard such a story and remembered it all in details, names, places, and all? Then the article in Palmer's magazine goes further "One of the features was to be Joe Simonton, who would be available to tell his story to one and all. It should be quite a drawing card." Notice that Simonton DID NOT appear before the public at the park in 1962 nor has intentions of doing so in 1963. I know because I saw him on the street in Eagle River June 8th and he just recently got back from the annual convention at Buck Nelson's where he met plenty of others and tells me that he learned a lot about flying saucers, and in fact, has a tape recording of all the speeches and came to my summer home on Wednesday evening to listen to it. To continue with Palmer's mag. "we visited Joe several times. Each time we gave him the treatment, over and over, until he had the exact details of a visit from a flying saucer, a request by one of the occupants for water, and a gift from him of the three pancakes. Joe really believes he saw those saucers, but it was all HYPNOTISM. We got the idea from noting all the publicity saucer sighters get, and we thought it would be a terrific drawing card for our new park." There you have it. Joe Simonton never saw any flying saucer, no three men, one of whom asked for water and no hovering disc that made off at terrific speed. He did receive three pancakes, made by his hypnotists, and they centainly are not of other-world origin."

Now thats the story by one alleged Patton. We saucer enthusiasts of this cummunity have called a meeting at my summer home on Sugar Maple Lake, three miles north of Phelps, Wisconsin for 7:30 P.M. Thursday August 8th, 1963, when Joe will give a report on the Buck Nelson convention that he recently attended. I shall afterwards present the present status of the UFO situation. Joe will be there, and I have also invited Mr and Mrs. Hess and may even call upon Harry Pride to attend. I hope to be able, once and for all to clear up the above incident so that Mrs. Hess will never again spread the false story that Joe Simonton was hypnotized.

THE GALT SAUCER

By Homer Schaefer

Canadian Branch Office Director

It was back in 1957 when we were all talking about flying saucers. I had purchased many books on the subject, just like everyone else, and had even organized a small group who were interested in learning more about the subject.

In July of '57 when there was news of many sightings, we got word that one saucer had landed in Galt, Ontario and that it had been observed by a number of teenagers. We wasted no time in getting down there as Galt is located approximately ten miles from Kitchener where I reside. We drove to Jack Stephens home, he was one of the witnesses, and found that he was quite tired of answering too many questions about the landing but he consented to show us where the saucer had landed.

When we arrived at the scene, we found plenty of evidence. The ground where the object had landed was scorched black, bales of hay had been broken open. Branches broken off in the nearby bushes. Hundreds of people had previously been there and trampled most of the area, so that the footprints made by the saucer had almost been wiped out. Jack told us that we could get some earlier photos taken by the Galt Reporter, or from Mr. Hartman who at the time was interested in the ground analysis.

I thusly obtained several prints from Phil Potentier of the Evening Reporter and one from Mr. Hartman.

However it was not until a month or so later that I began to study the footprint photos and discovered to my surprize that faces appeared to be on one of the prints. I pointed this out to others but none guessed this had any connection with the saucer, thinking it was just our imagination.

I had completely forgotten about these faces, until about a year or so ago I myself photographed a UFO.

Several months had passed since the sighting and I was beginning to get discouraged that no one seemed to take an interest in the photo. I thought it strange that many well known researchers refused to answer my letters or analyse the photo. The only unusual thing about the picture I thought was the fact that I also got the planet Venus at the same time.

Studying the picture some more, I found that I could make out several faces and also transparent spacecrafts. Possibly due to the fast film I used in my Polaroid camera.

Things were beginning to add up now, and suddenly I realized that "negative" entities were connected with it. The pieces of the puzzle began to fit together, and I realized that I had indeed stumbled on to a possible solution to the saucer mystery.

The space craft which landed in Galt back in July of '57 was possible from the same place, as the one I was able to photograph in April of 1962. In both instances "unfriendly faces" appeared on the pictures, and the fact that I had been silenced, helped to prove that they came from some negative dimension or planet.

On April 6, 1958 along with Gene Duplantier I visited Mr. Hartman to find out the results of the tests he had made on the area in which the Galt saucer had landed. The results were as follows: 1) Shortly after the saucer took off, soil samples were tested under a microscope. An odd blue radiation was observed. Soil taken a fraction of an inch from outside the area of which the object had landed showed no affects whatsoever. 2) Using an instrument to tell pressure of the soil, he had pressed the device into the earth. It went in about one inch before pressure registered. But, where the soil in the print should have been compressed and much harder due to the weight of the

saucer, the device went down four inches before registering pressure. It could be described as moon dust. 3) Soil was sealed in test-tubes. It divided itself into fine particles which went to the east side of the tube, and coarse particles went to the west side. A thin layer of earth at the top seemed to glow when put near the light. Water was also present on top. 4) When grain samples were grown, they were healthy and sturdier than the grain elsewhere in the field. 5) Ants in the scorched area where the saucer landed were larger and stronger looking than ants in untouched areas. Also the ant hills themselves were much higher than the ordinary ones. 6) More of this earth was placed in a jar and sealed. A tiny spider was unfortunate to get inself into this jar the same time the earth was put in. But if the spider was to face its like species now, it would be called a giant, because it was about ten (10) times its usual size. 7) Six inch long nails were put in another jar containing earth from the print. Two probes were put on the various nail heads which was in turn attached to a galvanic meter. This is an instrument for determining the strength and direction of a electric current. When connections were made, the galvanic meter hand on the dial jumped the full range of the dial.

Two more tests were made for (a) continuity, (b) magnetic current. Conclusion: POLARITY IS ELUSIVE, COULD NOT FIX POLARITY. This test was made by a Mr.J.Stauffer, a "ham" radio operator. The above test was witnessed by Mr.Mike Mathews, news announcer for Galt radio station CFTJ.

The above information was printed and varified in the May 1958 issue of Gene Duplantier's SAUCER SPACE AND SCIENCE.

I will try and answer any questions sent to me, or send a free copy of the photo taken on April 23rd showing these strange faces described earlier to anyone on request.

I am only trying to reach a final solution to the saucer enigma, to show that there are also negative as well as positive entities or beings in our atmosphere. Address all letters to Homer Schaefer,49 Turner Avenue, Kitchener, Ontario, Canada.



Drawing Gene Duplantier via CHASING THE FLYING SAUCERS (Gray Barker) February 1958 FLYING SAUCERS

The Galt, Ontario saucer consisted of a disk-shaped body which spun, under a kind of dome which remained stationary. Lower part had a dull aluminun appearance, but the turret was much brighter, chromium-like. A row of "portholes" or "exhausts" were around the rim.



Above illustration shows faces and figures which appeared mysteriously on photo taken by Homer Schaefer on April 23rd of 1962.

Illustration of huge footprint at scene of Galt landing showing mysterious faces similar to those photographed on April 23rd.

Homer Schaefer

AND THEN THERE WERE NONE

HERBERT W. ARMSTRONG

By Steve Erdmann

I am glad to see that so many clergymen are in the field of UFO research. Since "reality" is to be investigated from all and sundry lines of inguiry UFO's are a part of this reality and should be investigated in same. A UFO group should have persons from space medicine to demonology or parapsychology. From atomic research to the clergy.

Not all UFO groups think so. A recent exchange of correspondence between NICAP and myself establish the fact that NICAP doesn't believe parapsychology is necessary in its investigations. A more recent statement by L.J.Lorenzen in the May, 1963 issue of Palmer's Flying Saucers discloses that APRO has completely resigned theirselves to investigateing the "physical" aspect of the UFO's, as if there was some simple formula wereby the "metaphysical" portion can be conviently abated. Peter Kor, in his series of works in Palmer's zines, has shown why researchers won't be able to investigate like this much longer without"realizing the painful paradox of the situation". Tom Comella, in the December 1962 and March 1963 issues of Moseley's SAUCER NEWS in a facismile of Kor's works, points out the same thing.

It can be seen, that if we cannot come to somekind of an understanding between these two extremes -metaphysical, etc, one hand the physical on the other how can we even come to cope with the much more accepted and common sister link between the two: religion. For it has been said that religion (a fundamentalistic and Christian viewpoint) is the link between the occultistic metaphysicals and the strict materialistic science. Even here, we have various "faiths". The orthodox researcher, with a statis quo that he does not want to dirty, throws his hands up at the whole mess and resigns himself to a convient line of investigation. As Kor points out, in the long-run,this solves nothing. It was said in a UFO publication that "it is the opinion of this organization that UFOlogy is a non-religious topic....that religion, like politics, has no place in the UFO world. Let's keep UFOlogy what it is supposed to be, a scientific inquiry into (the) UFO." The afore-quoted is similar to other of the modernistic viewpoint. First, it is assumed that science investigates the total of all reality. As Peter Kor and others have pointed out, it does not....ergo, it is "half-fact". Second, the above publication, like the majority of religions today, don't realize the true purpose and information in the Bible and that the Bible contains more unique information than thought. That the true understanding of the Bible may prove to have more genuine connection with the UFO then thought. The remainder of this article is written about a certain group and its founder and that understanding of the Bible....its "prophecies", purpose of man on this earth, and reality.

Usually, the Bible is treated somewhat mundanely. As if it were somekind of opium or a psychological medicine that is good for whatever our superstitions cause us. They have lost all understanding that the Bible is an authority. On the other hand, personages bombastically teach that they have found the answers to "hidden" passages in the Bible, that verse such and such mean so and so. Such mystic and psychoc groups purport to be good, but they make the mistake of not letting the Bible interpret itself. However, one group of Bible enthusiasts showed the Bible is the above mentioned authority. An authority that tells of man's future, man's purpose on this earth and his relation to God and His universe. This is really unfamiliar to the layman. But let not the layman feel resentful: the clergy, our vast majority, do not realize the proceeding,too.

One important facet of this Biblical information is its "prophecies". One third of the Bible containing prophecy of this day and age. God tells us of the events of major and important happenings that will occur before he comes.

It is quite discouraging to find out that the Bible makes no mention of space ships in its history when the Bible is interpreted correctly. Since such evidences as the "Piri Reis" map (see Keyhoe's FLYING SAUCERS:TOP SECRET pages 212 to 215) indicates that the earth was under a "world wide AERIAL survey" by cartographers "more expert" than ours, it seems ironic that the Bible makes no uniform mention of such. Still further, in 1958 an object found near Piraeus, Greece was examined by Dr.Derek Price at the Institute of Advanced Studies in Princeton. He found it to be a mechamical instrument operated by a lever. It showed and precisely moved the reproductions of the planets of our solar system at a time when we thought they had no knowledge of our solar system! That's like a jet plane in King Tut's tomb. Yet there it is, a fantastic instrument and an ancient map, but no mention of the vast activity that most surely accompanied the two incidents.

UFO's (as UFO's) MAY be mentioned in the Bible's historical date,but let's look for mention of space ships in the Bible's prophecies for this day and age. The one-third of the Biblical prophecy for now and our furture. Perhaps, even more strongly we are confronted with the shocking fact that our "future" makes no mention of space ships in the Bible's prophecies for today and tomorrow. Further,no mention of outer space life and intelligence is told of. He tells of the troubles we must suffer through. He tells us of several major happenings that He feels are important enough for mention in His Book. But he doesn't mention space people, tero, Elder gods,etc., or giving us a cure for cancer. Nor does He mention that the just mentioned will invade us from outer space (although, there will be plenty "hell" right here on earth, besides). In short, the Bible centers around the human beings of this earth and their troubles and trails. After mentioning the devil and his demons over a hundred times, and God and His angels more then that, it can be seen that it is particular in its terminology. There is no reason why Biblical ancients should have had "amentia" or a stupidity that wouldn't enable them to lable and record the details of space ships and space people, much like we would today,not to mention: Tero, Dero, Serpent Races, Elder Gods, Venus brothers, etc.

It is necessary that the Bible mention intelligent outer-space life and space ships. It is the criticisms of many that the Bible is not a historical-scientifical book and it wouldn't be necessary to list such statistics as space ships and intelligence in outer space. What they over look is the fact that the Bible reveals the "purpose of man on earth, in regards to God and His universe". Further more the Biblical prophecy of our future is quite detailed (once understood) and can be used as an active standard to judge UFO theories in the light of our future. Ergo the words of the Bible. "If they speak not according to THIS WORD it is because there is no light in them." (Isaiah 8:20) "Prove all things, hold fast that which is good" (1 Thes. 5:21) takes on real and different significance. As far as statistics, in the light of the just discussed, the Bible words "Man lives not by bread alone....but every word of God" takes on weight, too.

This does not necessarily mean that UFO's don't exist; more specific, the "unknowns". The evidence for some "unknowns" is there, although, the out lined remarks that I have made would tend to cast a damageing picture for UFOlogy. But they exist as what? The Bible says that God has used the shape of the saucer and different celestial events. Ezekiel had a vision. But this was a IVG (Identified Vision of God). In Acts 10:11, Peter has a vision of another IVG: a vessel. Although, Acts 2:19 does tell us that God plans to show signs and wonders in the heavens, it is understood that these "wonders" are self explan-atory when the "end time" arrives. It is doubtful that they will have anything to do with space people since the aforementioned paradox will still be evident. These "end events", when prophecy is understood, seem to have more to do with upheavels in the weather and nature, rather then space ships.

We also know that the devil will have the power to fool us. To Satan, it is a simple prankster's trick to make "unknowns" appear as "space ship". To Satan, it is a simple task to, actually, manipulate physical material to arrange a saucer with a cabin and have it emit angel hair, magnesium fragments or what have you. Fooling around with a radar signal isn't any hardship for him either. It is a precise warning of God that Satan will be here to torment us in EVERYWAY (Rev. 12:9). The devils tactics are even revealed to us in air-phenomena (Isaiah 14:29).

If I had a choice, I'd be the first to cast my ballot in favor of the many popular UFO theories with the hundreds of wonderful and fantastic promises of salvation from all the threats that muster around our being. Usually, these sundry theories use "space people" as there foremat. But, what I wish and what the real answer indicates are two different things, and since the Bible says the devil has the power to fool us in such a way, it may be necessary to have clergymen look into documented cases of demonology and parasychology. Not in the sense that the two mentioned fields should become a substitute of religion (for the Bible has just as much to say against the "wild" claims of Shaver, Trench, Adamski, Ogden, Bender and others. With their matrix-mosaic of metaphysical and supernatural new age jargon and melange - as in contrast to the "orthodox" views of NICAPians and APROians, whose trite theories are none the less just as ineligible) but as to assist the clergy UFOlogical investigator. God made it clear that Satan will torment us in this modern era, it is quite natural that parasychological and demonological "case histories" will reveal abundant information.

What one would have to do is to look for himself into this matter. Many people have brought Bible passages to my attention as proof of space people. However, I find it to be only circumstancial evidence. Poor circumstancial evidence at that. For, in the light of Bible prophecy and its purpose of man on earth, the evidence should be clear and uniform and concrete. I can't say it is by any means. Here is the barrage of verses:

Job 25:1-6 Does the unclean stars refer to unclean people? Ps. 19:3 outer-space people would hear God's voice too. Job 26:13-14 is God concerned with another people in space? Ps. 29:3 Is this a reference to the waters (heavens) in Genesis 1:6-7? Does Ps. 50:4 refer to future dead astronauts or space people? Ps.18:2 is space people to be faithful or God's angels? Ps. 57:10 is His mercy great to non-living solar bodies or living things? Ps. 19:3-6 of course, God is concerned with whatever His universe MAY contain. I Corinthians 15:29-40 Here God is telling of the difference of things. Switching from earthly to heavenly things, He states that some things out in space are "equal" to earth things. Angels are not. Who is he talking about? Isaiah 40:22 does this verse, as some say, refer to "dwelling places" of outer space people? In Isaiah 45:12, is God talking of someone other than His angels? In Jeremiah 10:13, is He once again referring to waters (heavens) in Genesis 1:6-7? The preceding has been a gist of the kind of verses that have been brought forth as evidence of the many popular UFO theories. But, when they are looked at in the light of future Bibical prophecy and its connection with man's purpose for existence, it (or they) are understood to be "out of context"; as far as "proof wise".

The aforementioned Biblical situation is open for discussion and re-proof and refutation. But it can't be done with wild sarcasm and sardonic remarks. Honest and polite corrective criticisms are telerable, not bitter "go jump in the lakes". If the pessimistic out look of this article stirs such ineligible criticisms, it would be a reflection on the "criticiser" and not the "accused". In the face of honest and genuine remarks, let's hear your opinions: pro or con.

There is a tremendous amount of activity in UFOdom. As we look at this scale of activity, we see at one extreme the "space brothers" arriveing in all their drama to prepare earth people for their quixotic and romantic return. Everyone from "sister" Kalna and Illmuth to "brother" Adonai, Soltec, Voltra, and Monka are violently flexing their jaws.

Further down the scale, NICAP, APRC and others are runing after their "spacecraft" and "snacemachines" sustentated by their purported tons of filed evidence.

Meanwhile, back at the ranch, Peter Kor sententiously altercates that something does exist, but as a hopeless, mundane problem of chemistry of "antibodies" and "extraordinary matter" domonstrated in the collective unconscious.

Ray Palmer has his sloven, inordinate, bizarre Shaver Mystery and a sacrilegious CAHSPE bible paralleled by his recondite, insatiable, often mysterious private thinking and complete allergy for uniform scientific presentation. A rather similar problem exists with James W.Moseley, who uses his publication as a jaunty playground of entertainment.

The remainder of UFOdom is comprised of "borderland" ideas, concepts of dimensional spaceships, spirit mediums, space contacts, prehistoric birds, space plasma, ray gun bolts and time machines. The scale almost wavers into oblivion with spirits of the dead, equational concepts, and biological factors of borderland sciences.

The preceding paragrpahs clearly show the lack of cooperation which is languid and taken for granted in all parts of UFOlogy. There exists a ampliative, stentorian activity, but completely and excessively "undirected".

There is a final shock coming to the groups and individuals listed at the beginning of the last few paragraphs. This shock will NOT come from the infamous adverary of the advocators of the above listed ideals the Air Force. Instead, it will come from a source completely unrealized as scientifically demonstratable (unrealized by such as those publications that say that there's NO scientific qualities in the Bible): the Holy Bible! The Bible is, as Mr.Herbert W.Armstrong (founder of the Ambassador Colleges and formulator of the WORLD TOMORROW radio and television broadcasts) points out a "scientific axiom". Also Mr.Armstrong preaches his words in the form in which the Bible prints them. There are no dero stolen verses or little elf thefts. After all, THE BIBLE'S DEMONSTRATABILITY, OR PROPHECY, WHICH IS NOW BEING PROVEN CORRECT, DEPENDS ON THERE BEING NO DELETIONS OR MISINTERPRETATIONS. The Bible proves itself as an authority, and EXHIBITS TRUE PROPHECY AND A "SEQUENCE OF EVENTS" WHICH LEAVE NO PRACTICAL USE OR REVEAL NO AMELIORATIVE INTELLIGENCE BEHIND THE UFO, as avered in the thousand and one popular and unique and rare, alike, UFO theories. This is unpleasant, but as Armstrong says: "I know this is not pleasant to contemplate! I know well, too, that it won't be believed by more than a few. I know only too well that our proud and stiff-necked nations will heedlessly refuse to take warning! But I also know that these prophecies are as certain as tomorrow's sun...."

Briefly,here is the sequence of "damning-to-ufology" events: When the Nazis went underground after the second World War, they took with them a plan which calls for them rising to power again through the economical (later political) power of the European Common Market (example: see chapter one of Douglas M. Kelley's 22 Cells in Nuremberg). This is final restoration of the Roman Empire, prophecied in the Bible. After their position is restored, the Nazis will cut off trade with America, causing the greatest depression ever. This probability is realized in the news (see: TIME MAGAZINE, July 13, 1962 NEWSWEEK January 28,1963.LIFE MAGAZINE, April 20,1962, pages 102-104,108,112. SATURDAY EVENING POST, January 6,1962 pages 45,48,50). Coupled with drastic metrological conditions, this will greatly weaken the United States and Great Britian, inviting evemy invasion (a point of interest FATE MAGAZINE June,1963, pages 40-47). The Nazis will obligingly comply, and conquer America and Britian, making slaves of the survivors. Russia will then conquer the Nazis, but God's supernatural intervention will end the hell in which we will have been forced into. We will watch God destroy Communism and Nazism, in addition to the devil and his demons. The ultimate outcome will be "utopia",Kingdom of God, to the small few that have become true converted Christians, in the quality that God wanted man to become.

Our future will bedevil such people as Norbert Gariety of the, now defunct SPACE magazine, who is on a anti-communist kick, even though communism and Nazism are at a par. Many people will be looking to the skies for their "space friends" to land and rescue them in the nick of time. This just won't happen. Even NICAP and APRO will be genuinely shocked when "nothing becomes" of their mountains of proof of interplanetary spaceships. Peter Kor is wrong by placeing the "religious" theory of UFO's on the same par as others, because it is above them all. It is languid in saying,as he does, that the gods won't come down to earth, because only ONE "God" descends. Trench will be just as surprised when all juggleing and rearrangeing of Bible verses to support his "sky people" thesis come to nought. Adamski is in the same boat with his "brothers" and "sisters". Shaver will be plain sacrilegious when what I write here becomes reality.

In retrospect, there can be only three possibilities for a case to uphold the existence of UFO's. The following MAY be accepted by the Bible: (1) UFO's are an invention of a nation (in the light of remarks by such as Michael X in his We Want You, about the rise of the underground Nazi and UFO's the Bible does leave the possibility open for such "weapons" of future warfare. Also, note on flying saucers Flying Saucers,September '62). (2) UFO's are from the devil; or (3) God is useing this phenomena. In regards to number three, it must be remembered that if God is useing them, directly, they WILL be kept in accordance with the words of His Bible and its prophecy. As far as this is concerned, number two, of the above, would seem to be a better possibility. Nodero, tero, or space brother is mentioned in the Bible, which is very particular in its terminology. It's either, maybe one of the above OR NONE. Regardless, and it can be said in the words of Mr.Armstrong's son, Ted: "Prophecy races on...remember,and never forget,we told you so!!" As far as UFO theories, fulfilled prophecy may prove damageing (to think out side UFOlogy as well) and we may one day say: "and then there were none".

If after a personal examination, you feel that the first possibility may be plausible, I refer you to such as Michael X's We Want You (this by no means is confirmation of other of his written books and works). If you want to stick to statistics and are interested in the remaining two possibilities, you will find a lot of case histories in Rhine's "para" and late C.J.Jung's "synchronistic" phenomena. Meanwhile we are left with an explsion of space brothers, subterranean beings, time machines, spirits, and others. A confusion explosion!!!!!!

A vivid, but melange, picture forms before our eyes: there is a tremendous amount of activity; vehement; gigantic; stupendous; bizarre; ominous. The air is saturated with excitement, but it may as well be going on inside a test-tube, it is completely undirected.

Personages in UFO research seem to be saying: "Blood,confusion,disallusion. The world is crammed full of fultility and calamity. But, instead of feeling depressed and meaningfully concerned, I feel exhilerated. Naw,naw,naw, naw, until there's no skin left. I want everyone to NAW themselves to death!!" At a time as this, Joseph Auslander's poem Hope of the World would be stimulateing to aver: "World, O world of muddled men, seek the peace of God again: In the humble faith that kneels, in the hallowed word that heals; in the courage of a tree, in the rock's intergrity; in the hill that holds the sky, the star you pull you heart up by; in the laughter of a child, altogather underfiled; in the hope that answers doubt, love that drives darkness out... FRANTIC, FRIGHTENED, FOOLISH MEN, TAKE GOD BY THE HAND AGAIN."

*** *** ***

AUTHOR'S NOTE: the foundation of the preceding article was based upon the many works of Mr.Herbert W.Armstrong, Box 111, Pasadena,California. I recommend that you write for his voluminous "FREE" literature. Ask for such booklets as DO WE HAVE A COMPLETE BIBLE?, WHO IS THE BEAST,GERMANY IN PROPHECY,WHO WILL RULE SPACE,THE KEY TO THE BOOK OF REVELATIONS, also ask for a free subscription to PLAIN TRUTH MAGAZINE and copies published during 1962 and '63.

TWILIGHT OF THE SAUCER ERA

By James W. Moseley

EDITORS NOTE: Besides being a personal friend of your editor and an active Interplanetary News Service advisory board member Jim Moseley is editor and publisher of the second largest and oldiest saucer magazine in America, SAUCER NEWS. This is the first article that the author has ever done for a "rival" zine with the exception of Barker's SAUCERIAN years ago. A six issue subscription to SAUCER NEWS is $2.00 and we recomend it as a must.

The above title may seem rather strange, considering that the author has been publishing a saucer magazine for almost a decade, and plans to continue publishing it indefinitely.

However, it is no exaggeration to say that we are gradually approaching what may well be the end of the saucer era. It isn't that UFO's aren't seen any more! On the contrary, there has been a rather constant number of saucer sightings throughout the years since 1947, -except for the big "flaps" in 1952 and 1957. The trouble is, though, that few people realize this. Even saucer zine editors who do not have the benefit of a press clipping service, as we do, are inclined to think that saucer sightings are decreasing in frequency. The truth is that there are as many interesting sightings as ever, in various parts of the U.S. and around the world, -but, saucers are "old hat" now, and the wire services only pick up a very few of the most sensational incidents. Thus, even a New Yorker, reading his local newspapers, soon comes to the conclusion that saucers are "dying out." This is even more true of a person living in a small town. Unless he subscribes to a saucer magazine, which is most unlikely, years may pass without his reading about a single sighting in his hometown paper.

There are also other, more important factors that are tending to kill off the saucer saga. One of these is the very same factor which has already practically killed the whole field of science fiction. I refer to what might be called "science reality" -namely, the unbelievable advances which the physical sciences have made since the end of World War II. Even ten years ago, only a few visionaries dared to talk about space exploration in our life time. Now only a handful of incurable pessimists and crackpots would deny that the Moon will be explored within a decade, and the nearby planets soon thereafter. Thus, what has happened is this: Whereas the idea that creatures may be visiting us from other planets used to be exciting, fantastic, and daring -today, the reaction of the average man on the street to the idea of extraterrestrial life would more likely be "Who cares?" rather than "I can't believe it." If we Earthlings are soon going to visit other planets, it is no longer as thrilling as it used to be to believe that extraterrestrials are visiting us. The fantastic has become commonplace, and with scientific knowledge now doubling every decade, there is hardly time to formulate a dream before someone makes it into a reality!

Another very serious trouble is that saucer research really has not gotten anywhere in all these years. Hundreds of thousands of words have been written, thousands of speeches have been made, at least a hundred major books have been written -and still no real progress! There is no theory or combination of theories which has made any real headway, and worst of all there is still no direct objective proof that saucers even exist! Yes, we have mountains of indirect evidence: and a few careful researchers have gone so far as to use up their eyesight carefully placing hundreds and hundreds of sightings on file cards, and classifying the UFO's according to speed, color, shape, size, etc. All of this work has accomplished exactly nothing. Even the Air Force tried the same thing, culminating in their famous

statistical analysis called Project Blue Book Special Report #14, published in 1955. If we are to believe the Air Force, they were not able to get any closer to a solution to the saucer mystery than were the civilian researchers, in spite of all the money, personnel, and facilities available to the Government for their investigation.

A mystery which lingers on without any solution in sight, eventually becomes boring to the public. Sensational events help to keep interest alive, but in the long run interest will die out anyhow. This is proven by the fact that the saucer conventions at Giant Rock, California, attract fewer and fewer people each year. Even the contactees are losing their following, and it is small wonder! One contactee or another has already (allegedly) visited all the nearby planets, so it becomes a matter of "can you top this?". In other words, each contact must be more fantastic than the last one, or else it's merely "old hat." Recently, two books were published which had enough of a new angle to be of interest. Al Bender's FLYING SAUCERS AND THE THREE MEN supposedly solved a ten-year-old mystery, and it contained alot of interesting-if-true material. UFO WARNING by John Stuart of New Zealand, was definitely the ultimate in something-or-other, as it consisted mainly of sexual attacks on an Earth girl by various extraterrestrial monstery. Yet these books, particularly the latter, attracted relatively little attention and did not sell very well -mainly because they appeared too late in the game. The saucer book market has become a question of supplying ever-wilder stories to an ever-decreasing public.

In the nine years that I have been publishing and editing SAUCER NEWS, I've seen dozens and dozens of saucer clubs and publications start out with a flourish, reach their peak, and then fade away into oblivion. The average life of a saucer club is about two years. I have seen myself pass from a "green" newcomer into the field back in 1954 to one of the last of the "oldtimers." Al Bender, August Roberts, Dominick Lucchesi, Elliott Rockmore, Gray Barker, and most of the other leaders in the field in those days are now in retirement or semi-retirement. In addition, a surprising number of saucer leaders and authors have died over the years Edward Ruppelt, Morris K.Jessup, Harold T.Wilkins, Meade Layne (founder of BSRA), Tiffany Thayer (secretary of the Fortean Society, and only active member), Cedric Allingham, and several others who are less well-known.

Where will it all end? Frankly, my SAUCER NEWS subscription list was dwindling until I recently took over Gray Barker's SAUCERIAN BULLETIN. How long I can hang onto a "hard core" of my fans and his former fans, remains to be seen. Personally, I expect to see saucers eventually descend to the semi-oblivion enjoyed by ghosts, poltergeists, and other psychic phenomena. Everyone who has studied these fields with an open mind knows there is something to each of them; but as these drag along, with similar phenomena repeated over and over again, and no hope of a solution -they attract only a few followers and are rather consistently ignored by the scientific community and the general public.

It has been said by certain cynics that the real name of the three men in black are Boredom, Frustration, and Disgust. I myself have never been troubled with any of these three, and my personal interest in the subject of unidentified flying objects (UFO's) remains as keen as ever. SAUCER NEWS is committed to continue publication "till the last cup and saucer" (whatever that may mean!). But just how soon the last cup and saucer will be reached, I do not know.

INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE

International Flying Saucer Organization

TIMOTHY GREEN BECKLEY
DIRECTOR
PHONE: CHARTER 7-7092

Dear Fellow U.F.O. Researcher

We wish to approach you as a prospective member of the INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE. All incoming data is CAREFULLY collated in a scientific manner without bias or speculation. Our bi-monthly publication (considered by many to be the best on the market) carries the latest news of flying saucers and allied phenomenon.

Our saucer publication is new and exciting, and includes in every issue the latest saucer photos and news from all over the world! We solicit articles by the top names in the field including James W.Moseley, Gray Barker Theodore Fitch and countless others.

Our magazine is not a magazine with any one idea or point of view. But gives a broad, comprehensive view of the entire saucer enigma from the latest on contacteeism down to the latest sighting supplied by our worldwide chain of representatives. The only belief we have, which is usually shared by our readers, is that flying saucers, or UFO's do indeed exist.

Anyone interested in an objective investigation is urged to join. Space in our journal is given to one and all no matter what their personal theories and ideas may be. As with all other lines of this type no payment can be made for articles and all matterial is subjected to editorial amendment.

To cover the high cost of printing and postage membership has been set at $2.00. This includes a membership card and a six issue subscription to the above described journal.

We are proud to have on our advisory board such top names as Gray Barker, James W.Moseley, George D.Fawcett, John J.Robinson, Gene Duplantier and others.

Below are a number of unsolicited comments regarding this organization and its publication. Kindly support this organization, to continue our work we need your help.

"After reading your magazine, I think it's one of the best if not the very best. Once I picked it up I couldn't put it down until I had read it from cover to cover." (Janice Hays)

"Indeed one of the most popular groups in the U.S. today." (Gray Barker, Saucerian Publications)

"Your publication is to be congratulated on its growth, progress, need and importance." (George D.Fawcett)

"I find your paper most interesting." (James W.Moseley, Saucer News)

"Your publication is very enjoyable...You certainly have got together some good material." (The Hon. Brinsley Le Poer Trench)

"Your paper is a dandy." (Gene Duplantier, Saucers Space & Science)

"Your material is scientific and entertaining at the same time." (Allen Greenfield, Saucer Album)

MAILING ADDRESS
3 COURTLAND STREET
NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY

DR. IMMANUEL VELIKOVSKY
HARTLEY AVENUE
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

March 14,63

Dear Mr. Wilson:

You have correctly observed that both crucial tests claimed by me in W. in C. have found verification in the results of MarinerII probe.

Very sincerely,

Im. V.